# National Survey of Student Engagement Executive Snapshot 2008 <br> University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point 

## Dear Colleague:

This is a summary of some key findings from your institution's performance on the 2008 National Survey of Student Engagement. We hope you can use this information to stimulate discussion on your campus about how to improve the undergraduate experience at University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point.

Sincerely,
Alexander C. McCormick
Director, National Survey of Student Engagement

Are All Students at University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point Equally Engaged?

Quality in U.S. higher education is often considered an institutional attribute, as if one college offers a uniformly better or worse education than another. Yet, a robust finding from decades of research on college students holds that student experiences and outcomes are more varied among siudenis wiihin insiiiuiions than between institutions.

In this year's annual report NSSE urges institutions to "look within," calling attention to the need to examine variation in the student experience at your institution. Your (hypothetical) average student does not describe all students and groups on your campus.

The adjacent figures illustrate this phenomenon. We plotted your students' NSSE benchmark scores in a "box and whiskers" chart (see key). Here you can see the range and variation of your scores (whiskers), as well as where the midrange or normal scores fell (box). Note the dispersion of your scores. The greater the range, the more varied the student experience is at your institution.

Improving the experience of your least engaged students may be the most effective way to raise your benchmark scores and improve your overall institutional quality. Even high-performing institutions have much to learn by examining variation in student engagement - by looking within.

Variation in NSSE Benchmark Scores by Class at University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point
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The dot signifies the median - the score that divides all students' scores into two equal halves (means are reported on page 4). The box shows the middle $50 \%$ of your scores (from the 25 th to 75 th percentiles) and the whiskers show the range of scores excluding outliers (from the 5 th to the 95 th percentile).

## NSSE 2008 Question Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's overall benchmark scores. This section features the five questions on which your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students at your first selected comparison group (listed in your NSSE 2008 Selected Comparison Groups report).

While these questions were chosen to represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. We encourage you to review your NSSE Institutional Report 2008 for additional results of particular interest to your campus.

Highest Performing Areas
Comparison Groups

Ques- Bench-
tion mark ${ }^{1} \quad$ Percent of students who...
First-Year Students

| 2e. | LAC | Said courses emphasized applying theories or concepts to new situations $^{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $68 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1b. | ACL | Made a class presentation $^{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | $28 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| 1t. | ACL | Discussed ideas from readings or classes with others outside of class $^{2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $45 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| 11. | EEE | Used an electronic medium to discuss or complete an assignment $^{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $44 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 8b. | SCE | Positively rated their relationships with faculty members $^{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $68 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $69 \%$ |

Seniors

| 3e. | LAC | Wrote more than 10 papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $35 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 g. | ACL | Worked with other students on projects during class $^{2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| 11. | EEE | Used an electronic medium to discuss or complete an assignment ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 7 f. | EEE | Had a study abroad experience | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 10 b. | SCE | Said the institution provides substantial support for academic success ${ }^{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ |

The adjacent figure, based on the table above, displays the questions on which your students compare most favorably with those in your first selected comparison group named:
UW Consortium


Lowest Performing Areas
Comparison Groups

| Ques- | Bench- |  | UW-Stevens Point | UW <br> Consortium | Publ Mstrs M -Region | Publ Mstrs M -Natl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tion | mark ${ }^{1}$ | Percent of students who... |  |  |  |  |

First-Year Students

| 3 a . | LAC | Read more than 10 assigned books or book-length packs of readings | 27\% | 32\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3d. | LAC | Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages | 16\% | 24\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| 9 a. | LAC | Spent more than 10 hours/week preparing for class (studying, etc.) | 52\% | 57\% | 56\% | 50\% |
| 1a. | ACL | Asked questions/contributed to class discussions ${ }^{2}$ | 44\% | 49\% | 52\% | 59\% |
| 1h. | ACL | Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments ${ }^{2}$ | 32\% | 41\% | 45\% | 40\% |
| Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 a . | LAC | Read more than 10 assigned books or book-length packs of readings | 26\% | 34\% | 31\% | 32\% |
| 3d. | LAC | Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages | 38\% | 46\% | 45\% | 44\% |
| 7 e. | EEE | Completed foreign language coursework | 31\% | 39\% | 39\% | 37\% |
| 7h. | EEE | Completed a culminating senior experience (capstone, thesis, comp. exam) | 21\% | 35\% | 36\% | 32\% |
| 10c. | EEE | Said the institution substantially encourages contacts among diverse peers ${ }^{4}$ | 35\% | 42\% | 43\% | 46\% |

First-Year Students


Notes
${ }^{1}$ LAC=Level of Academic Challenge; ACL=Active and Collaborative Learning; SFI=Student-Faculty Interaction; EEE=Enriching Educational Experiences; SCE=Supportive Campus Environment
${ }^{2}$ Combination of students responding 'very often' or 'often'
${ }^{3}$ Rated at least 5 on a 7 -point scale
${ }^{4}$ Combination of students responding 'very much' or 'quite a bit'

## Respondent Characteristics

The adjacent table displays your number of respondents, response rate, and sampling error by class. Sampling error is an estimate of the margin by which the true percentage of your students may differ from the reported percentage on a given item (because not all of your students completed surveys).

|  |  | Resp. <br> Rate | Sampling <br> Error |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First-Year Students | 898 | $54 \%$ | $+/-2.2 \%$ |
| Seniors | 1,060 | $51 \%$ | $+/-2.1 \%$ |

## Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice

To represent the multi-dimensional nature of student engagement, NSSE developed five indicators of effective educational practice. These "benchmarks" are created from clusters of NSSE questions that best represent these practices.

The table below summarizes key benchmark results for UWSP institution and selected comparison groups. The ' + ' symbol indicates that your institution's score is higher than the respective comparison group ( $p<.05$ ), the ' - ' symbol indicates a score lower than the comparison group, and a blank space indicates no significant difference.

Comparison Groups

|  | Class | UW-Stevens <br> Point | Comparison Groups |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | UW Comprehensives | Publ Mstrs M -Region | Publ Mstrs M -Natl |
| Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| How challenging is your institution's intellectual and creative work? | First-Year | 48.6 | 49.7*- | 50.2--- | 50.8--- |
|  | Senior | 53.9 | $55.1{ }^{-}$ | 55.5-- | 56.1-- |
| Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are your students actively involved in their learning, individually and working with others? | First-Year | 37.7 | 39.2- | $41.3{ }^{--}$ | 42.0-- |
|  | Senior | 51.6 | 52.1 | 51.8 | 51.8 |
| Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Do your students work with faculty members inside and outside the classroom? | First-Year | 30.0 | 31.1 | $33.3{ }^{--}$ | 33.9-- |
|  | Senior | 42.1 | 41.9 | 42.5 | 42.9 |
| Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Do your students take advantage of complementary learning opportunities? | First-Year | 23.3 | 23.1 | $24.3{ }^{-}$ | $25.4{ }^{--}$ |
|  | Senior | 37.4 | 39.6--- | $38.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 38.3 |
| Supportive Campus Environment (SCE) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Do your students feel the institution is committed to their success? | First-Year | 60.4 | 59.5 | 59.5 | 59.3 |
|  | Senior | 58.2 | 58.3 | 57.8 | 58.6 |
| $-\mathrm{p}<05,-\mathrm{p}<01,--\mathrm{p}<.001$ Indicate UWSP is significanty lower. <br> $+\mathrm{p}<0.05,++\mathrm{p}<.01,++\mathrm{p}<.001$ Indicate UWSP is siggificantly lower. |  |  |  |  |  |

## For More Information

A comprehensive summary of all results is contained in the NSSE institutional report, Shari Ellertson, Office of the Chancellor. Reports used in this Executive Snapshot included the: NSSE 2008 Mean Comparisons, Frequency Distributions, Benchmark Comparisons, and Respondent Characteristics.
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