

**UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM AND
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-STEVENS POINT CHAPTER 17
NON-ACADEMIC STANDARDS AND DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES**

The University of Wisconsin “Student Nonacademic Disciplinary Procedures,” Chapter UWS 17 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code, Rules of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, were adopted in January 1976, and revised September 1996, September 2009, September 2013, July 2016. ***Additional statements for the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point are indicated in BOLDFACE and ITALICIZED type.*** These added statements, in conjunction with Chapter UWS 17, constitute Chapter UWSP 17.

UWSP 17 applies to enrolled students at the Marshfield, Stevens Point, and Wausau campuses of the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point.

17.01 Policy Statement. The mission of the University of Wisconsin System and its individual institutions can be realized only if the university’s teaching, learning, research and service activities occur in living and learning environments that are safe and free from violence, harassment, fraud, theft, disruption and intimidation. In promoting such environments, the university has a responsibility to address student nonacademic misconduct; this responsibility is separate from and independent of any civil or criminal action resulting from a student’s conduct. This chapter defines nonacademic misconduct, provides university procedures for effectively addressing misconduct, and offers educational responses to misconduct. The University of Wisconsin System is committed to respecting students’ constitutional rights. Nothing in this chapter is intended to restrict students’ constitutional rights, including rights of freedom of speech or to peaceably assemble with others.

17.02 Definitions. In this chapter:

17.02(1) “Chief administrative officer” means the chancellor of an institution or dean of a campus or their designees. ***At UW-Stevens Point, the Chief administrative officer is the Dean of Students or their designee.***

17.02(2) “Clear and convincing evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person to have a firm belief that a proposition is more likely true than not true. It is a higher standard of proof than “preponderance of the evidence.”

17.02(2m) “Complainant” means any individual who is reported to have been subjected to sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, as defined in s. UWSP 17.09.

17.02(3) “Days” means calendar days.

17.02(4) “Delivered” means sent by electronic means to the student’s official university email address and, in addition, provided by any of the following methods:

a) Given personally.

b) Placed in the student’s official university mailbox.

c) Mailed by regular first class United States mail to the student’s current address as maintained by the institution.

17.02(5) “Disciplinary file” means the record maintained by the student affairs officer responsible for student discipline.

17.02(6) “Disciplinary probation” means a status in which a student may remain enrolled in the university only upon the condition that the student complies with specified standards of conduct or other requirements or restrictions on privileges, for a specified period of time, not to exceed two years.

17.02(7) “Disciplinary sanction” means any action listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1) taken in response to student nonacademic misconduct.

17.02(8) “Expulsion” means termination of student status with resultant loss of all student rights and privileges.

- 17.02(9)** “Hearing examiner” means an individual, other than the investigating officer, appointed by the chief administrative officer in accordance with s. UWSP 17.06(2) for the purpose of conducting a hearing under s. UWSP 17.12.
- 17.02(10)** “Institution” means any university, or an organizational equivalent designated by the board.
- 17.02(11)** “Investigating officer” means an individual, or his or her designee, appointed by the chief administrative officer of each institution, to conduct investigations of nonacademic misconduct under this chapter.
- 17.02(12)** “Nonacademic misconduct hearing committee” or “committee” means the committee appointed pursuant to s. UWSP 17.07 to conduct hearings under s. UWSP 17.12.
- 17.02(13)** “Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a proposition is more probably true than not true. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and convincing evidence” and is the minimum standard for a finding of responsibility under this chapter.
- 17.02(13m)** “Respondent” means any student who is accused of violating any provision of this chapter and was registered for study in an institution for the academic period, or between academic periods for continuing students, when the misconduct occurred.
- 17.02(14)** “Student” means any person who is registered for study in an institution for the academic period in which the misconduct occurred, or between academic periods, for continuing students.
- 17.02(15)** “Student affairs officer” means the dean of students or student affairs officer designated by the chief administrative officer to coordinate disciplinary hearings and carry out duties described in this chapter.
- 17.02(16)** “Suspension” means a loss of student status for a specified length of time, not to exceed two years, with resultant loss of all student rights and privileges.
- 17.02(17)** “University lands” means all real property owned by, leased by, or otherwise subject to the control of the board of regents of the University of Wisconsin System.

17.03 Consistent Institutional Policies. Each institution is authorized to adopt policies consistent with this chapter. A copy of such policies shall be filed with the board of regents and the University of Wisconsin System Office of Academic Affairs.

17.04 Notice to Students. Each institution shall publish ch. UWSP 17 on its website and shall make ch. UWSP 17 and any institutional policies implementing ch. UWSP 17 freely available to students through the website or other means.

17.05 Designation of Investigating Officer. The chief administrative officer of each institution shall designate an investigating officer or officers for allegations of student nonacademic misconduct. The investigating officer shall investigate student nonacademic misconduct and initiate procedures for nonacademic misconduct under s. UWSP 17.11. For allegations involving sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, or sexual harassment, the chief administrative officer shall involve the Title IX Coordinator, or designee, in accordance with applicable institutional policies.

17.06 Non-Academic Misconduct Hearing Examiner.

- 17.06(1)** The chief administrative officer of each institution, in consultation with faculty, academic staff, and student representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the designation of a student nonacademic misconduct hearing examiner to fulfill the responsibilities of the nonacademic misconduct hearing examiner in this chapter.
- 17.06(2)** A hearing examiner shall be selected by the chief administrative officer from the faculty and staff of the institution, pursuant to the policies adopted under sub. (1).

17.07 Non-Academic Misconduct Hearing Examiner Committee.

17.07(1) The chief administrative officer of each institution, in consultation with faculty, academic staff, and student representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the establishment of a student nonacademic misconduct hearing committee to fulfill the responsibilities of the nonacademic misconduct hearing committee in this chapter.

17.07(2) A student nonacademic misconduct hearing committee shall consist of at least three persons, including at least one student or students, except that no such committee shall be constituted with a majority of members who are students. The presiding officer shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer. The presiding officer and at least one other member shall constitute a quorum at any hearing held pursuant to due notice.

17.08 Non-Academic Misconduct Occurring On or Outside of University Lands.

17.08(1) Misconduct on University Lands. Except as provided in s. UWSP 17.08(2), the provisions contained in this chapter shall apply to the student conduct described in s. UWSP 17.09 that occurs on university lands or at university-sponsored events.

17.08(2) Misconduct Outside of University Lands. The provisions contained in this chapter may apply to the student conduct described in s. UWSP 17.09 that occurs outside of university lands only when, in the judgment of the investigating officer, the conduct adversely affects a substantial university interest. In determining whether the conduct adversely affects a substantial university interest, the investigating officer shall consider whether the conduct meets one or more of the following conditions:

- (a) The conduct constitutes or would constitute a serious criminal offense, regardless of the existence of any criminal proceedings.
- (b) The conduct indicates that the student presented or may present a danger or threat to the health or safety of himself, herself or others.
- (c) The conduct demonstrates a pattern of behavior that seriously impairs the university's ability to fulfill its teaching, research, or public service missions.

17.09 Conduct Subject to Disciplinary Action. In accordance with s. UWSP 17.08, the university may discipline a student for engaging in, attempting to engage in, or assisting others to engage in any of the following types of nonacademic misconduct:

17.09(1) Dangerous Conduct. Conduct that endangers or threatens the health or safety of oneself or another person.

17.09(2) Sexual Assault. Conduct defined in s. 940.225, Stats.

17.09(3) Stalking. Conduct defined in s. 940.32, Stats.

17.09(4) Harassment. Conduct defined in s. 947.013, Stats.

17.09(5) Hazing. Conduct defined in s. 948.51, Stats.

17.09(6) Illegal Use, Possession, Manufacture, or Distribution of Alcohol or Controlled Substances. Use, possession, manufacture, or distribution of alcoholic beverages or of marijuana, narcotics, or other controlled substances, except as expressly permitted by law or university policy.

17.09(7) Unauthorized Use of or Damage to Property. Unauthorized possession of, use of, moving of, tampering with, damage to, or destruction of university property or the property of others.

17.09(8) Disruption of University-Authorized Activities. Conduct that obstructs or impairs university-run or university-authorized activities, or that interferes with or impedes the ability of a person to participate in university-run or university-authorized activities.

17.09(9) Forgery or Falsification. Unauthorized possession of or fraudulent creation, alteration, or misuse of any university or other governmental document, record, key, electronic device, or identification.

17.09(10) Misuse of Computing Resources. Conduct that involves any of the following:

- (a) Failure to comply with laws, license agreements, and contracts governing university computer network, software, and hardware use.
- (b) Use of university computing resources for unauthorized commercial purposes or personal gain.
- (c) Failure to protect a personal password or university-authorized account.
- (d) Breach of computer security, invasion of privacy, or unauthorized access to computing resources.

For more information regarding Information Technology policies, please refer to: www.UWSP.edu/it/about/policies

17.09(11) False Statement or Refusal to Comply Regarding a University Matter. Making a knowingly false oral or written statement to any university employee or agent of the university regarding a university matter, or refusal to comply with a reasonable request on a university matter.

17.09(12) Violation of Criminal Law. Conduct that constitutes a criminal offense as defined by state or federal law.

17.09(13) Serious and Repeated Violations of Municipal Law. Serious and repeated off-campus violations of municipal law.

17.09(14) Violation of Ch. UWSP 18. Conduct that violates ch. UWSP 18, including, but not limited to, provisions regulating fire safety, theft, and dangerous weapons.

17.09(15) Violation of University Rules. Conduct that violates any published university rules, regulations, or policies, including provisions contained in university contracts with students.

17.09(16) Noncompliance with Disciplinary Sanctions. Conduct that violates a sanction, requirement, or restriction imposed in connection with previous disciplinary action.

Failure to comply with requirements of a university assigned sanction will result in a finding of responsibility for 17.09(16), the charge and outcome being added to the original disciplinary case, and a Dean of Students hold being placed on the student's university account. The student will be notified of the 17.09(16) outcome, placement of the hold, and reminded of the previous sanctions so they can resolve the issue. Once the student completes the sanction requirements, the disciplinary hold will be lifted.

17.09(17) Dating violence. Violence committed by a student against another person with whom they are in a “dating relationship” as defined in s. 813.12 (1) (ag), Stats.

17.09(18) Domestic violence. Conduct defined as “domestic abuse” in ss. 813.12 (1) (am) and 968.075, Stats.

17.09(19) Sexual Harassment. Conduct defined in s. 111.32 (13), Stats., or as defined in Board of Regent Policy that addresses sexual harassment.

17.10 Disciplinary Sanctions.

17.10(1) The following are the disciplinary sanctions that may be imposed for nonacademic misconduct, in accordance with the procedures of ss. UWSP 17.11 to 17.13, are any of the following:

- (a) A written *warning or* reprimand.
- (b) Denial of specified university privileges.
- (c) Payment of restitution.
- (d) Educational or service sanctions, including community service.
- (e) Disciplinary probation.
- (f) Imposition of reasonable terms and conditions on continued student status.
- (g) Removal from a course in progress.
- (h) Enrollment restrictions on a course or program.
- (i) Suspension.
- (j) Expulsion.

17.10(2) One or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in sub. (1) may be imposed for an incident of nonacademic misconduct.

17.10(3) Disciplinary sanctions shall not include the termination or revocation of student financial aid; however, this shall not be interpreted as precluding the individual operation of rules or standards governing eligibility for student financial aid under which the imposition of a disciplinary sanction could result in disqualification of a student for financial aid.

17.11 Disciplinary Procedure.

17.11(1) Process. The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing and appeal rights, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1).

17.11(2) Conference with respondent. When the investigating officer concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, the investigating officer shall promptly contact the respondent in person, by telephone, or by electronic mail to offer to discuss the matter, review the investigating officer's basis for believing that the respondent engaged in nonacademic misconduct, and to afford the respondent an opportunity to respond. If the respondent fails to respond to the investigating officer's offer to discuss the matter, the investigating officer may proceed to make a determination on the basis of the available information. A complainant shall have all the rights provided to the respondent in this subsection.

17.11(3) Determination by the Investigating Officer that No Disciplinary Sanctions is Warranted. If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (2), or review of available information, the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did not in fact occur, or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted under the circumstances, the matter will be considered resolved without the necessity for further action. The investigating officer shall simultaneously notify the respondent and the complainant of this outcome and offer to discuss it separately with either one. If the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did not occur or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted, the complainant may appeal this decision in accordance with s. UWSP 17.13.

17.11(4) Process Following Determination by the Investigating Officer that Nonacademic Misconduct Occurred.

17.11(4)(a) If, as a result of a discussion or review of available information under sub. (2), or review of available information, the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did occur and that one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed under s. UWSP 17.10(1) should be recommended, the investigating officer shall prepare a written report which shall contain the following:

1. A description of the alleged misconduct.
2. A description of all information available to the university regarding the alleged misconduct. Such information shall be available to the complainant and the respondent, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.
3. Specification of the sanction sought.
4. Notice of the respondent's right to a hearing.
5. A copy of this chapter and of the institutional procedures adopted to implement this section.

17.11(4)(b) The written report shall be delivered simultaneously to the respondent and complainant, excluding any information that may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

17.11(4)(c) A respondent who receives a written report under this section has the right to a hearing under s. UWSP 17.12 to contest the determination that nonacademic misconduct occurred, the choice of disciplinary sanction, or both.

1. Where the disciplinary sanction sought is one of those listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1)(a) to (g), and if the respondent desires a hearing; the respondent shall file a written request with the student affairs officer within 10 days of the date the written report is delivered to the student. If the respondent does not request a hearing within this period, the determination of nonacademic misconduct shall be regarded as final, and the disciplinary sanction sought shall be imposed.

2. Where the disciplinary sanction sought is one of those listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1)(h) to (j), the investigating officer shall forward a copy of the written report under par. (b) to the student affairs officer. The student affairs officer shall, upon receipt of the written report, proceed under s. UWSP 17.12 to schedule a hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless the respondent waives, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

17.12 Hearing.

17.12(1) A respondent who requests a hearing, or for whom a hearing is scheduled under s. UWSP 17.11(4)(c) 2., shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee. In cases of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking or sexual harassment the university shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee.

17.12(2) If a respondent requests a hearing under s. UWSP 17.11(4)(c)1., or a hearing is required to be scheduled under s. UWSP 17.11(4)(c)2., the student affairs officer shall take the necessary steps to convene the hearing and shall schedule it within 15 days of receipt of the request or written report. The hearing shall be conducted within 45 days of receipt of the request or written report, unless a different time period is mutually agreed upon by the respondent and investigating officer, or is ordered or permitted by the hearing examiner or committee.

17.12(3) No less than 5 days in advance of the hearing, the hearing examiner or committee shall obtain from the investigating officer, in writing, a full explanation of the facts upon which the determination of misconduct was based, and shall provide the respondent and complainant with access to or copies of the investigating officer's explanation, together with any other materials provided to the hearing examiner or committee by the investigating officer, including any additional available information of the type described in s. UWSP 17.11(4)(a)2.

17.12(4) The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the following guidance and requirements:

17.12(4)(a) The hearing process shall further the educational purposes and reflect the university context of nonacademic misconduct proceedings. The process need not conform to state or federal rules of criminal or civil procedure, except as expressly provided in ch. UWSP 17.

17.12(4)(b) The respondent shall have the right to question adverse witnesses, the right to present information and witnesses, the right to be heard on his or her own behalf, and the right to be accompanied by an advisor of the respondent's choice. The advisor may be a lawyer. In cases where the recommended disciplinary sanction is identified in s. UWSP 17.10 (1) (a) to (h), the advisor may counsel the respondent, but may not directly question adverse witnesses, present information or witnesses, or speak on behalf of the respondent except at the discretion of the hearing examiner or committee. In cases where the recommended disciplinary sanction is identified in s. UWSP 17.10(1)(i) or (j), or where the respondent has been charged with a crime in connection with the same conduct for which the disciplinary sanction is sought, the advisor may question adverse witnesses, present information and witnesses, and speak on behalf of the respondent. In accordance with the educational purposes of the hearing, the respondent is expected to respond on his or her own behalf to questions asked of him or her during the hearing. The complainant shall have all the rights provided to the respondent in this subsection.

17.12(4)(c) The hearing examiner or committee:

1. Shall admit information that has reasonable value in proving the facts, but may exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony.
2. Shall observe recognized legal privileges.
3. May take reasonable steps to maintain order, and to adopt procedures for the questioning of a witness appropriate to the circumstances of that witness's testimony, provided, however, whatever procedure is adopted, the respondent and complainant are allowed to effectively question the witness.

- 17.12(4)(d)** The hearing examiner or committee shall make a record of the hearing. The record shall include a verbatim record of the testimony, which may be a sound recording, and a file of the exhibits offered at the hearing. The respondent and complainant may access the record except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.
- 17.12(4)(e)** The hearing examiner or committee shall prepare written findings of fact and a written statement of its decision based upon the record of the hearing.
- 17.12(4)(f)** A hearing examiner's or committee's finding of nonacademic misconduct shall be based on one of the following:
1. Clear and convincing evidence, when the sanction to be imposed is one of those listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1) (h) to (j).
 2. A preponderance of the evidence, when the sanction to be imposed is one of those listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1)(a) to (g).
 3. A preponderance of the evidence, regardless of the sanction to be imposed, in all cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking.
- 17.12(4)(g)** The hearing examiner or committee may impose one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1)(a) to (g) that differs from the recommendation of the investigating officer. Sanctions under s. UWSP 17.10(1)(h) to (j) may not be imposed unless previously recommended by the investigating officer.
- 17.12(4)(h)** The hearing shall be conducted by the hearing examiner or committee, and the university's case against the respondent shall be presented by the investigating officer or his or her designee.
- 17.12(4)(i)** The decision of the hearing examiner or committee shall be prepared within 14 days of the hearing, and delivered simultaneously to the respondent and complainant, excluding information that may be precluded by state or federal law. The decision shall become final within 14 days of the date of the written decision, unless an appeal is taken under s. UWSP 17.13.
- 17.12(4)(j)** If a party fails to appear at a scheduled hearing and to proceed, the hearing examiner or committee may either issue a decision based upon the information provided.
- 17.12(4)(k)** Disciplinary hearings are subject to the Wisconsin open meetings law and may be closed if the respondent and complainant requests a closed hearing or if the hearing examiner or committee determines that it is necessary to hold a closed hearing, as permitted under the Wisconsin open meetings law. Deliberations of the committee shall be held in closed session, in accordance with s. 19.85, Stats. As such, proper notice and other applicable rules shall be followed.

17.13 Appeal to the *Chief Administrative Officer*.

- 17.13(1)** Where the sanction prescribed by the hearing examiner or committee is one of those listed in s. UWSP 17.10(1) (h) through (j), the respondent may appeal to the chief administrative officer within 14 days of the date of the written decision to review the decision of the hearing examiner or committee, based upon the record. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual harassment, the complainant shall be notified to the appeal.
- 17.13(2)** In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking or sexual harassment, the following appeal rights shall be provided:
- (a) The complainant may appeal in writing to the *Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs* within 14 days of the date of the decision of the investigating officer pursuant to s. UWSP 17.11 (3), or the hearing committee or examiner pursuant to s. UWSP 17.12 (4) (i). The appeal shall be based upon the record. The respondent shall be notified of the appeal.
 - (b) The respondent may appeal in writing to the *Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs* within 14 days of the date of the decision of the hearing committee, or examiner pursuant to s. UWSP 17.12 (4) (i). The appeal shall be based upon the record. The complainant shall be notified of the appeal.

17.13(3) The chief administrative officer *or Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs under 17.13(2)(a)(b)* has 30 days from receipt of an appeal to respond and shall sustain the decision unless the chief administrative officer *or Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs* find any of the following:

(a) The information in the record does not support the findings or decision.

(b) Appropriate procedures were not followed which resulted in material prejudice to the respondent or complainant.

(c) The decision was based on factors proscribed by state or federal law.

17.13(4) If the chief administrative officers *or Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs under 17.13(2)(a)(b)* makes a finding under sub. (3), *the chief administrative officer or Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs* may return the matter to the hearing examiner or hearing board for consideration, or may invoke an appropriate remedy of *their* own. The chief administrative officer *or Vice Chancellor under 17.13(2)(a)(b)* decision shall be communicated simultaneously to the respondent and the complainant.

17.14 Discretionary Appeal to the Board of Regents. Institutional decisions under ss. UWSP 17.11 to 17.13 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record, upon written request submitted by any party within 14 days of the final institutional decision. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual harassment, the non-appealing party shall receive notice of the appeal.

17.15 Settlement. The procedures set forth in this chapter allow the university and a respondent to enter into a settlement agreement regarding the alleged misconduct, after proper notice has been given. Any such agreement and its terms shall be in writing and signed by the respondent and the investigating officer or student affairs officer. The case is concluded when a copy of the signed agreement is delivered to the respondent. The investigating officer shall confer with the complainant regarding the proposed settlement and provide notice of the outcome.

17.16 Effect of the Discipline within the Institution. A respondent who, at the time of commencement, is subject to a continuing disciplinary sanction under s. UWSP 17.10(1) or unresolved disciplinary charges as a result of a report under s. UWSP 17.11, shall not be awarded a degree during the pendency of the sanction or disciplinary proceeding.

17.17 Effect of Suspension or Expulsion within the University System.

17.17(1) Suspension or expulsion shall be system wide in effect and shall be noted on an individual's transcript, with suspension noted only for the duration of the suspension period.

17.17(2) An individual who is suspended from one institution in the University of Wisconsin System may not enroll in another institution in the system until the suspension has expired by its own terms, except as provided in s. UWSP 17.18.

17.17(3) An individual who is expelled from one institution in the University of Wisconsin System may not enroll in another institution in the system, except as provided in s. 17.18.

17.17(4) An individual who is in a state of suspension or expulsion from the university under this chapter, or who leaves or withdraws from the university while under nonacademic misconduct charges under this chapter, may not be present on any campus without the written consent of the chief administrative officer of that campus.

17.17(5) Upon completion of a suspension period, an individual who is academically eligible may re-enroll in the institution which suspended him or her, provided all conditions from previous disciplinary sanctions have been met.

17.18 Petition for Restoration of Rights After Suspension or Expulsion.

A respondent who has been suspended may petition to have his or her student status, rights, and privileges restored before the suspension has expired by its own terms under s. 17.17(2). A respondent who has been expelled may petition for the right to apply for readmission. The petition shall be in writing and directed to the *Chancellor* of the institution from which the respondent was suspended or expelled or of a different University of Wisconsin institution to which the respondent seeks admission. The *Chancellor* shall make the readmission decision. In cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking cases, the readmission decision should be made in consultation with the Title IX coordinator, and the complainant should be notified of any change to the disciplinary outcome.

17.19(1) Emergency Suspension. The chief administrative officer may impose an emergency suspension on a respondent, pending final institutional action on a report of nonacademic misconduct, in accordance with the procedures of this section.

17.19(2) The chief administrative officer of each institution may impose an emergency suspension on a respondent when all of the following conditions are met:

- a) The investigating officer has made a reasonable attempt to offer the respondent the opportunity for discussion, either in person or by telephone;
- b) The investigating officer recommends a sanction of suspension or expulsion; and
- c) The chief administrative officer concludes, based on the available information, that the misconduct occurred and that the respondent's continued presence on campus meets one or more of the following conditions:
 1. Would constitute a potential for serious harm to the respondent;
 2. Would constitute a potential for serious harm to others;
 3. Would pose a threat of serious disruption of university-run or university-authorized activities; or
 4. Would constitute a potential for serious damage to university facilities or property.

17.19(3) If the chief administrative officer determines that an emergency suspension is warranted under sub. (2), the chief administrator shall promptly have written notification of the emergency suspension delivered to the respondent. In cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking, the written notification of the emergency suspension shall be delivered simultaneously to the complainant and the respondent. The chief administrative officer's decision to impose an emergency suspension shall be effective immediately when delivered to the respondent and is final.

17.19(4) Where an emergency suspension is imposed, the hearing on the underlying allegations of misconduct shall be held, either on or outside of university lands, within 21 days of the imposition of the emergency suspension, unless the respondent agrees to a later date.

17.19(5) An emergency suspension imposed in accordance with this section shall be in effect until the decision in the hearing on the underlying charges pursuant to s. UWSP 17.12 is rendered or the chief administrative officer rescinds the emergency suspension. In no case shall an emergency suspension remain in effect for longer than 30 days, unless the respondent agrees to a longer period.

17.19(6) If the chief administrative officer determines that none of the conditions specified in sub. (2)(c) are present, but that misconduct may have occurred, the case shall proceed in accordance with s. UWSP 17.

Policy updated July 2018. Under review by Shared Governance. Questions should be directed to the Office of the Dean of Students.