SABBATICAL SCORING RUBRIC

COLS									
NARRATIVE	1								Max. Pts.
BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, & ACTIVITIES (45-60%)	52	Exceller	nt	Good		Satisfac	torv	Poor	52
Project Purpose & Goals							,		
· Clearly Defined Purpose		l 👝	6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	0.0
Project Preparation & Groundwork		l	6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	
· Disciplinary Relevance & Appropriateness			6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	
· Organized & Well-Structured			6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	
· Well-defined Objectives & Goals			6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	
Activities & Outcomes		_	0.5	_		_		_ 0.0	, 0.0
· Clear & Understandable Methodology or Plan			6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	0.0
Appropriate & Well-formulated Activities			6.5		4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	
Realistic & Clearly-defined Timeline			6.5	_	4.3		2.2	□ 0.0	
Realistic & clearly defined fillicine	Subtotal	 	0.5		7.5				0.0
Comments:	Japtotai	<u> </u>							0.0
comments.									
WRITING: GRAMMAR & COMPOSITION 10%	10	Exceller	nt	Good		Satisfac	tory	Poor	10.0
· Grammar, Spelling, Paragraph Structure				•			•		
· Completeness & Correct Formatting			10.0		6.7		3.3	□ 0.0	0.0
· Relevant/Appropriate References & Source Citations									0.0
Comments:									
		_							
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 10%	10	Exceller	nt	Good		Satisfac	tory	Poor	10.0
· Letter of Support - Supervisor (Required)									
· Letters of Support - Colleagues			10.0		6.7		3.3	□ 0.0	0.0
· Logistical, Cooperative & Collaborative									
Support Letters (if applicable)									0.0
Comments:									
	_								
PROFESSIONAL ADVANCEMENT 10-20%	20	Exceller	nt	Good		Satisfac	tory	Poor	20.0
· Clearly Delineated Professional Outcomes									
· Impact on Professional Growth & Career			20.0		13.3		6.7	□ 0.0	0.0
· Appropriate Dissemination Plan for Results									
(e.g. Presentation & Publication)									0.0
Comments:									
COLLEGE ODITEDIA O TENETE O 400/	0	Exceller	nt	Good		Satisfac	tory	Poor	0.0
COLLEGE CRITERIA & TENETS 0 - 10%	U	<u> Lxteciici</u>							
Example: Outside Funding Sources	0		0.0		0.0		0.0	<u> </u>	0.0
	-								0.0
· Example: Outside Funding Sources	0								
 Example: Outside Funding Sources Example: Year-long Sabbatical	5		0.0			Satisfac	0.0	_ 2.0	

Maximum Points	100							
								0
- First time sabbaticals may be given greater weighting			3.0		0.0		_	0.0
PREVIOUS SABBATICALS 0-5%	3	None		One o	r More			3.0
Comments:								
· Concise & Relevant Vita Content & Structure								0.0
Abstract Length & FormatClarity of Project Synopsis in Abstract			5.0		3.3	1.7	<pre>0.0_</pre>	0.0

each College to shape the rubric to reflect its priorities.	There are seven possible categories.	Some categories have a fix

ed percentage. The Narrative, Professional Development, College Tenents and Criteria, a	and Previous Sabbaticals catego

ories have adjustable percentage allotments that each College Sabbatical Review Committee will determine within the a	

llevelle rege	The total management	200 and 200 at tatal 100		
illowable range.	The total percentage	award must total 100.	it is possible that a categor	ry could be excludedawarded C

) percentage points. O	ne caveat: While th	iis rubric will calculate	scores depending o	n the assigned percer	ntage, the state

ed point values in the Excellent, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor columns will not change.	This would require reprogrammir

ng the rubric sheet, which is not feasible now but is a goal for the next sabbatical round.	Finally, all rubrics should includ

