Using Social Sciences to Inform Lake Management



Adena Rissman Associate Professor, UW-Madison Human Dimensions of Ecosystem Management Investigating the relationships between society and environment, focusing on ecosystem management, conservation, and sustainable use



How do social scientists understand the world?

com





What questions do you have about:

- Individuals (farmers, anglers, owners...)
- Families (inheritance, life cycle...)
- Governance (policy, politics)
 - Civil Society Organizations (lake associations)
 - Public Organizations (government)
 - Private Sector Industries
- Markets (ag, housing, forest, fish...)
- Technology (boats, harvesters)
- Social structures (race, class, gender) Related to lake conditions, uses, history, threats, solutions, and opportunities? 5

Methods for linking social and ecological information about lakes





Social-ecological review

- How often are ecological variables incorporated in social-ecological systems research and what methodologies couple social and ecological variables?
- We reviewed 120 articles with the keyword "social-ecological system"





Methods for Linking Social and Ecological Systems

Quantitative correlations Social-ecological modeling Separate quantitative measures Quantitative indicators Causal loop diagrams Rich description





What questions do you have about:

- Individuals (farmers, anglers, owners...)
- Families (inheritance, life cycle...)
- Governance (policy, politics)
 - Civil Society Organizations (lake associations)
 - Public Organizations (government)
 - Private Sector Industries
- Markets (ag, housing, forest, fish...)
- Technology (boats, harvesters)
- Social structures (race, class, gender) Related to lake conditions, uses, history, threats, solutions, and opportunities?



My research group

- Natural resource policy and administration
 - Private land conservation and water quality (conservation easements, zoning, forest tax programs, water quality trading)
 - Across public-private divides
- Social and legal adaptation to environmental change and novel ecosystems; scenarios
- Use of science in natural resource management
- Ecological outcomes of conservation policy



Social science in the Water Sustainability and Climate project

- Nonpoint pollution challenges overview (Rissman & Carpenter 2015)
- Policy mapping and spatial fit (Wardropper et al. 2015, Qiu et al. 2017)
- YaharaWins survey and interviews (Wardropper et al. 2017)
- Spatial data: privacy and public access (Rissman et al. 2017)
- Change over last 50-100 years in land use, policies, extreme storms (Gillon et al. 2016)
- Scenarios analysis (Wardropper et al. 2016)

Public perceptions survey

- Fall 2015 survey of Dane County residents
- I 100 respondents, 52% response rate
- Mail survey with 4 waves and \$2 incentive

Rissman, Kohl, and Wadropper. 2017. Environmental Science and Policy

Dane County residents viewed water as the most important services in the region.

ES Group	Service		
Water for households	Clean and safe drinking water	4.89	
	Reliable water supply for drinking and showering	4.75	
Natural areas and processes	Clean lakes & rivers for fish and wildlife	4.66	
	Reliable water supply for lakes and rivers	4.61	
	Forests & grasslands that remove and store CO2	4.51	
	Forests & grasslands for wildlife	4.48	
	Clean lakes & rivers for recreation	4.41	
	Forests & grasslands for recreation	4.14	
Agricultural	Farmer livelihoods	4.30	
	Fruits & vegetables	4.20	
	Ag products for local consumers	4.19	
	Dairy products	4.19	
	Grass or pasture to feed livestock	3.95	
	Corn and soybeans to feed livestock	3.71	
	Ag products for non-local consumers	3.48	
	Biofuel crops	3.05	
Rural character	Farming heritage	3.71	
	Scenic farms	3.37 -	
Flood control	Flood control	4.19	
		Not at all (1)	Extremely (5)

How important

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment Groups

Cultural

Overall, Dane County residents supported water quality policies for runoff control on farms and lawns, and opposed relying on voluntary action without government for farms



Predictors of policy support

- Values about the role of government and society were the strongest predictors of policy support, followed by concern about runoff and selfinterest (agricultural occupation, lawn owner)
- Regulatory approaches were somewhat polarizing, with more support from communitarians and less support from individualists.

Rissman, Kohl, and Wadropper. In press. Environmental Science and Policy

Survey takehome messages

- Water quality is highly valued among urban and rural residents
- Residents support agriculture, especially farmer livelihoods, local food, fruits/veggies, and dairy
- Water quality policy support was high
- Policy support higher with positive view of government in society, high water quality concern, and no direct self-interest
- Regulatory rollback that removes government may not be popular
- Outreach should seek to align with diverse worldviews



Acknowledgements

- Sean Gillon, Chloe Wardropper, Sedra Shapiro, Ellen Geisler, Catherine Harris, Andrew L'Roe, Bethany Laursen, Jiaqi Lu, Emily McKinney, Sarah Wilkins
- Water Sustainability and Climate project, feedback from Steve Carpenter, Ostrom Workshop



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Water Sustainability and Climate In the Yahara River Watershed



Research funded under grant DEB-1038759 Water Sustainability and Climate (Category 2)