How Community Organizations affect lake outcomes

Nicholas Hudson – PhD student, Dept. of Ag. & Applied Economics, UW- Madison

Eric Olson – Director and Lake Specialist, UW Extension Lakes

Dan Phaneuf - Professor, Dept. of Ag. & Applied Economics, UW- Madison

Ken Genskow –Professor and extension water resources specialist, Dept. of Urban and Regional Planning, UW - Madison

38th annual Wisconsin Lakes Partnership Convention

Thursday, March 31 2016

WHAT ARE WE INTERESTED IN?

 What role do lake associations play in determining lake water quality?

 Do different governance structures affect water quality outcomes?

• Where are lake associations most likely to arise?

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

• Should resources be used to encourage private initiatives at providing public goods?

• How to optimize extension and outreach efforts to associations?

CONCEPTUAL FRAMING

• Free rider problem with regards to lake quality presents challenges.

• Potential solutions? Or ways to address this issue?

Associations - private solution

Districts – public finance solution

TESTABLE HYPOTHESIS:

• All else equal, we anticipate that lake quality should be better at lakes with cooperative institutions.

• Lake districts should perform better than lake associations, but together should operate better than either alone.

• What is the role of the scale of operations?

OVERVIEW OF AVAILABLE DATA

Lake association/district database

 WDNR's Surface Water Integrated Monitoring System (SWIMS)

• Fisheries Management Database (FMDB)

Grants data

US Census data

POTENTIAL MODEL DESIGN

 Model to assess if physical lake factors drive the formation of lakes

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ lake \ i \ has \ association \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$y_i = \alpha \cdot size_i + \beta \cdot other\ physical\ attributes_i$$

 Goal: to identify whether the existence of lake associations is driven by physical characteristics of the lakes.

POTENTIAL MODEL DESIGN, CONTINUED...

 Model to assess if physical lake factors drive the formation of lakes

 q_{it} = water clarity of lake i at time t

$$y_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ lake \ i \ has \ association \ at \ time \ t \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$q_{it} = \delta \cdot y_{it} + \theta \cdot physical \ attributes_{it}$$

• Goal: to determine if the presence of a lake association improves water quality.

CHALLENGES

• Primary problem will be distilling the pure effect of the lake associations.

• Important to understand the process by which these institutions arose

 Appreciate that we will not fully capture all of the variables that inform the existence of a lake or the water quality.

WHERE ARE WE NOW?

In the process of collecting data

 Compiling information on lake associations and districts around the state.

• At this point we do not have any results to show you, but would be interested in hearing any thoughts or ideas you may have

SUMMARY

 To assist in determining the future role for the UW Extension outreach efforts in facilitating the management of Wisconsin lakes.

The presented analysis will hopefully bring meaningful information to this decision on whether to encourage private initiatives (lake associations), public investment (lake districts), or not to devote any resources.

REFERENCES

- Ostrom, E. (2000). Collective action and the evolution of social norms. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 14(3), 137-158.
- Snell, M., K.P. Bell, and J Leahy. (2010). Local Institutions and Natural Resource Management. Paper for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010 AAEA, CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, July 25-27, 2010.