Rebuilding an Eroding Bank on an Inland Lake

A comparison of Traditional and Prefabricated Encapsulated Soil Lifts

Jane Herbert, Senior Extension Educator

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY Extension

2014 Wisconsin Lakes Convention

Today's talk

- Background on shoreline erosion control in MI
- KBS Shoreline Demonstration Area
 - Goals of the soil lift project
 - Site characteristics , project design and permitting constraints
- Construction process
- Plant material
- Study design
 - Results 2011 2013
 - Conclusions
 - Lessons learned

Background

- Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership
 - Public/private partnership formed in 2008
 - Alternatives to vertical sea walls
 - Education, product/technique development, tnership.org influence policy and regulation

Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership

Welcome to the Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership (MNSP)

MNSP Shoreline and Shallows Conference

Events: Natural Shoreline Trainings and Workshops

MNSP Native Plant List

Michigan Certified Natural Shoreline Professionals - Listing

MICHIGAN NATURAL SHORE Promoting Natural Shoreline Landscaping to Protect Michigan's Inland Lakes

NATURAL SHORFLINE www.mishorelin

Search this site

Partnership Objectives

Background -- continued



Certified Natural Shoreline ProfessionalCertification training for waterfront contractors

- Landscape and marine contractors
- Landscape architects
- Restoration ecologists
- Consulting engineers
- Natural resource professionals
- Offered nine times since 2010
 - Three days classroom, one day field, 100 question exam
 - Nearly 200 certified contractors
 - Web-based listing recommended by MDEQ permit staff



Background -- continued



Certified Natural Shoreline Professional
Continuing Education Units (CEUs)
Required to maintain certification
Six credits every three years

MNSP awards credits









Located on east side of Gull Lake in southwest lower Michigan 1/4-mile of shoreline 2,000-acre lake Moderate to high way Ice action Boating Vegetation removal Mowed to water's edge Active and ongoing erosion

Kellogg Biological Station (K

KBS Shoreline Management **Demonstration** Area www.shoreline.msu.edu

Constructed 2000 - 2001

- 400 linear feet on Gull Lake
- Multiple landscape designs and erosion control structures
 - Rock rip rap
 - Live fascine
 - Encapsulated soil lifts (vegetated geogrid)
 - Live crib wall



80 feet of live fascine

Installed in 2001
Slow but continual failure
Minor repairs had failed
Active and ongoing bank erosion by spring 2011









July 2011: replaced fascines with 80-feet of encapsulated soil lift on a rock base

• 40-feet each:

- Traditional built-on-site soil lifts (more time)
- Prefabricated "coir block lift system" (more \$)
- Side-by-side
- Identical plant species, plant materials, planting techniques

Compared:

- Plant establishment
- Invasion by native and nonnative weed species
- Shrub plug survival

• Ability to withstand wind, wave and ice action Prediction: The two lift types would perform similarly.



Project design





EROSION CONTROL

Project design

- Cross-section drawing on page 3 Rock base (18" high)
 - Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM)
 - Waves
 - Ice
- Two courses of each type of lift
- Permit constraints:
 - Rock base no more than two feet out from re-contoured shoreline
 - Minimize encroachment on lake bed
 - Steeper slope than desired
 - Other designs to consider
 - Rock base v. no rock base
 - Various heights (up to 8 ft.) and slopes
 - Bank re-contouring may accommodate gentler slope

Building the rock base





Construction video at: www.mishorelinepartnership.org



Two lift types Identical planting plans

Plant material

Seed

- Cover crop annual rye and oats
- Native grasses and wildflowers
- Shrub plugs between the lifts
 - Native dogwoods

Species

- Seed mostly wetland and some upland
- No concrete plans for irrigation
 - Dependent upon capillary action of lake water
- Drought of 2012...
 - No rain
 - Low water levels
 - Lack of irrigation on P-lifts

Study design by Dr. Jen Lau, KBS Total of four lifts studied Traditional (T) – upper and lower Prefabricated (P) – upper and lower

Twenty 1/2-meter quadrats
 Five located along established transects in each of the four lifts

Monitored for three growing seasons (2011-13)



Study design -- continued

Seedings were monitored:

- Percent vegetative cover estimates
 - Ground level
- Number of native and non-native weed species
 - Included in percent cover estimates
- Shrub plugs monitored
- Direct stem count
- Lift performance against waves and ice
 - Three-point scale
 - 1= total failure
 - 2 = partial failure
 - 3 = no failure

Results 2011-13: Vegetative cover



Figure 4. A comparison of the mean percent vegetative cover over the 3-year study period on the traditional (T-lift) and prefabricated (P-lift) lift structures.

Results 2011-13: weed species



Figure 5. A comparison of the number of native and nonnative weed species present on traditional and prefabricated lifts over the 3-year study period.

Results 2011-13: shrub plugs



Figure 6. A comparison of *Cornus* spp. shrub survival over the 3-year study period.

Lift performance against waves and ice

Three-point scale
1= total failure
2 = partial failure
3 = no failure



Traditional lift (upper) -- first winter



Prefabricated lifts -- first winter

Conclusions

In terms of:

- Plant establishment
- Resistance to invasion by weeds
- Ability to withstand waves and ice

No significant differences (at this site over the three-year study period) between:

- Traditionally-built soil lifts
- Prefabricated coir block lift system

Lessons learned

 Closely match seed mix to anticipated soil moisture levels as related to the OHWM Plan for irrigation if above OHWM Minimize foot traffic deer and human Protect lifts by double-wrapping • Erosion control blanket Light-grade woven coir mat Plug-plant lifts with long-rooted native species More \$ Quick establishment Under-seed Or...



Live dormant cuttings?

-Greater soil contact -Greater moisture retention -Adventitious rooting -Seasonal limitations







Another thought: Place lower lift below OHWM? -Greater capillary action of water into lifts -No waves or boat wake -Potential loss of soil through blanket

KBS soil lifts August 2013

Questions?

08/15/20