STREAMBANK SITE ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST Streambank and Shoreland Protection Code 580

General Data						
Field Staff:	Date:	Legal Description	:	GPS C	oordinates:	
Property Owner Name(s):	r Information Address:		Telephone:	Tru	ıst:	□No
rvanic(3).	Address.		r cicprioric.			
Water Body Inf	formation			Tax	x ID#:	
Name:	Type:		Watershed Co	ode:	Size of Par	rcel:
	I					
	rian Habitat / Physical					
Simon and Hupp S	Stage of Evolution (See C	Companion Document 7)	:			
-	ion (See Companion Docum fication):		tion Using the Ros	gen Syste	em, and Job SI	heet 811,
BEPI Score:	(http://dnr.w	i.gov/org/water/fhp/wate	rway/permits/Bank	<u>ErosionPo</u>	otentialIndexW	orksheet.pdf)
Area of special nat	ural resource interest:	□Yes □No				
Section 303d listed	l water body: ☐Yes	□No (<u>http://dnr.w</u>	i.gov/org/water/wm	<u>/wqs/303</u>	d/303d.html)	
ORW or ERW:]Yes □No (<u>http://dnr</u>	.wi.gov/org/water/wm/w	gs/orwerw/list1006.	<u>pdf</u>)		
Trout Stream Class		Unclassified wi.gov/fish/species/trou		Cold w	vater □W	/arm water
Stream order:						
Evidence of fish sp	pawning?]No				
Invertebrate use?	□Yes □No					
Describe other hab	oitat features:					
Fish habitat within	the stream:					
Emergent plants (p	plants rooted in bottom s	sediments and eme	rging from surfa	ace):		
Density:	□Low	☐Medium	□н	ligh		
Diversity:	☐Low (1-5 species)	☐Medium (5-15	species) 🔲 H	ligh (>1	5 species)	
Floating-leaved pla	ants (rooted plants with	floating leaves):				
Density:	Low	Medium	□н	ligh		
Diversity:	☐Low (1-5 species)	☐Medium (5-15	species) 🔲 H	ligh (>1	5 species)	
Submergent plants	(rooted plants that rem	ain below the wate	r surface):			
Density:	Low	Medium	□н	ligh		
Diversity:	☐Low (1-5 species)	☐Medium (5-15	species) 🔲 H	ligh (>1	5 species)	
Does landowner re	emove aquatic plants?	∐Yes ∐No				
Any other manager	ment activity? Yes	☐No Describe	ə:			

Type of pier: Solid Cantilevered Permanent Removable Wharf (parallel or perpendicular to shoreline) Other
Describe riparian area in further detail:
Stream Features
Water surface profile at time of site visit: Upstream Downstream Site location
OHWM / Bankfull elevation: feet.
Any evidence of water level fluctuations with runoff (flashy streams; water control structures; etc.)?
Calculate the capacity and velocity of the stream: cfs fps.
Adjacent tributaries or flowing water: Yes No
Coarse wood habitat/downed trees/large branches (>6" diameter):
Choose one: Pools and riffles OR Pools and Steps or cascades
Describe spacing and frequency:
Abrupt grade changes:
Stream Access
Width: linear feet.
Path design: Straight Meandering Flat Steep
Path substrate:
Access function: Stream View Wildlife Viewing Boat/Dock Access Swimming Fishing
Extent of use:
Erosion Evidence
Evidence: None Bare earth Furrows/slumping/gullies Deposits of silt/sand
Sedimentation in stream Upland rills Headcutting
Rate severity: Low Medium High
Describe the bank recession rate:
Probable cause(s) of instability:
☐Groundwater seeps ☐Overland flow ☐Other
Presence of existing erosion control practices: Present Absent
Describe types (i.e., retaining walls; landscape timbers; etc.):
Riparian Buffer Area Vegetation

Sun exposure: N NE E SE S SW W NW
Buffer dimensions: width feet length feet.
Buffer slope: Flat (< 10 %) Moderate (10-20%) Steep (> 20%)
Vegetation layers: ☐Trees ☐Shrubs ☐Ground cover
Plant diversity:
Aquatics/littoral zone:
Uniform: Yes No
Can the buffer zone be increased?
Enhance or change existing vegetation by: Plant native vegetation Leave as no-mow area Other List common species present:
Aquatic habitat:
Streambed and Bank Composition
Soil series:
Complete a Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) profile log using Job Sheet 814 or 817 found on the Wisconsin Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) web page.
Visually determine if the stream bed is: Aggrading Degrading Stable (See Investigations, WI Supplement to EFH Chapter 16,)
Bed substrate type(~percentage):% Boulders% Cobble% Gravel% Bedrock (See Job Sheet 810)% Sand% Silt% Organic matter
Presence of stream sediment matter:
Existing Structures
□Dam □Bridge □Culvert □Other Describe size and proximity to project site for each:
Structures present (swimraft; boathouse; boat hoist; PWC lift; seawall; riprap; bioengineering; benches; etc.):
☐Year-round house ☐Seasonal house ☐Shed ☐Garage ☐Old foundations ☐Other
Access to stream: Paved drive Gravel drive Unimproved two-track None
Foot path access:
Closest distance from buildings to waters edge: linear feet

What percentage of the upland portion of the site is covered by impervious surfaces?
a. Total impervious surface area = square feet
b. Total upland area = square feet
c. Impervious surface area fraction = (= a / b)
d. Percent impervious surface area = % (= c X 100)
Consider the following items and describe:
Roads/lanes:
Property lines/setbacks:
Well location:
Wetlands on site:
Easements:
Utilities/overhead lines:
Surface channels/drainage paths/flow patterns:
Runoff & stormwater controls/gutters:
Other:
Septic System
Septic System:
Septic System: Yes No
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet.
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: Yes No
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: Yes No (i.e., water ponding on surface; sewage odors in the home or yard; dense aquatics by shore; etc.)
Septic System:
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: No (i.e., water ponding on surface; sewage odors in the home or yard; dense aquatics by shore; etc.) Cultural Resources Consult either the NRCS or Tribal databases for information on the site.
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: Yes No (i.e., water ponding on surface; sewage odors in the home or yard; dense aquatics by shore; etc.) Cultural Resources Consult either the NRCS or Tribal databases for information on the site. Other Considerations
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: Yes No (i.e., water ponding on surface; sewage odors in the home or yard; dense aquatics by shore; etc.) Cultural Resources Consult either the NRCS or Tribal databases for information on the site. Other Considerations
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: Yes No (i.e., water ponding on surface; sewage odors in the home or yard; dense aquatics by shore; etc.) Cultural Resources Consult either the NRCS or Tribal databases for information on the site. Other Considerations Aesthetics:
Septic System: Yes No Type of system: Septic tank (with: drain field mound dry well) Holding tank Other Distance measured from septic drain field to water body: linear feet. Evidence of failing sewage system: Yes No (i.e., water ponding on surface; sewage odors in the home or yard; dense aquatics by shore; etc.) Cultural Resources Consult either the NRCS or Tribal databases for information on the site. Other Considerations Aesthetics:
Septic System:

Attach pictures, maps, drawings, and other illustrations that depict essential site features.