Scene Analysis Paper



Useful documents: FLG 381 Scene Analysis Paper.pdf and FLG381 Scene Analysis Rubric.pdf

Helpful links: <u>Citation Help for MLA, 8th Edition: Film (https://libguides.css.edu/c.php?g=41682&p=265041)</u>

o Example:

Throne of Blood. Directed by Akira Kurosawa, performances by Toshirô Mifune and Isuzu Yamada, Toho Company in Japan and Brandon Films in USA, 1957/1961.

Helpful link: <u>Citation Help for MLA, 8th Edition: Journal Article</u> (https://libguides.css.edu/c.php?g=41682&p=265035)

Points 100

Submitting a file upload

Due	For	Available from	Until
Nov 5	Everyone	-	-

Scene Analysis Rubric

24/2020			Scene Analys	is Papei			
Criteria	Ratings						
Content: Title Your essay's title is original and informative.	5 to >4 pts Exemplary The title contributes to the argument developed in the rest of the essay and captures readers' interest by giving them an idea of the main claim the essay will advance. It is creative and informative, at once.		4 to >3.5 pts Proficient The title contributes to the argument developed in the rest of the essay. It is informative.	Developing The title is unoriginal, for instance, just the title of the	Beginning There is no title or it is simple the title of the	5 pts	
Content: Introduction with thesis The introduction advances your position (thesis statement) and provides general information about the work (film) studied in this analysis.	15 to >13.5 pts Exemplary Engaging introduction that contains info on film's basic information (director, year released, genre, etc.) and its plot and main characters. Contains a strong, well- defined thesis with a hook (last sentence of introductory paragraph!) Essay has direction. 13.5 to >10 pts Proficient Coherent introduction cont some general background info film and its plot. Clear thesis prov direction for the essay and indicat which elements to be analyzed to support the main contention.		ient ent ent ent ection contains general cound info on d its plot. hesis provides on for the and indicates elements will elyzed to t the main	10 to >7.5 pts Developing Introduction is weak, does not give reader general background on film or its plot. Thesis is not clearly stated or too simple or not specific enough for the task (i.e. cinematic analysis).	7.5 to >0 pts Beginning Vague introduction, reader has little sense of what film is about. No clear thesis, incomplete.	15 pt	
Content: Development - Cinematic analysis The essay examines cinematic particularities of the studied work.	35 to >31.17 pts Exemplary Engaging introduction that contains info on film's basic information (director, year released, genre, etc.) and its plot and main characters. Contains a strong, well-defined thesis with a hook (last sentence of introductory	Coherent contains a general be info on fill plot. Clear provides a the essay indicates elements analyzed	introduction some ackground m and its ir thesis direction for and which	25.16 to >17.5 pts Developing Introduction is weak, does not give reader genera background on film or its plot. Thesis is not clearly stated or too simple or not specific enough for the task (i.e. cinematic analysis).	little sense of what film is about. No clear thesis,	35 pt	

paragraph!) Essay has direction.

24/2020			30	sene /	Analysis Paper		
Criteria		Ratings					
Content: Conclusion The essay's conclusion restates the thesis, summarizes the essay's body, and leaves readers with a final impression. 10 to >9 pts Exemplary Stylishly and subtly returns to thesis. Extends main ideas, connects and comments on topic.		9 to >8 pts Proficient Returns to thesis and summarizes main points and ideas without simply restating (repeating) them.		8 to >7 pts Developing Summarizes previously stated information, often simply repeating it, effectively concludes but doesn't extend.		7 to >0 pts Beginning Absent, incomplete or simply repeats the introductory statements which add nothing to the essay/ argument.	10 pts
Control of syntax and mechanics Demonstrates control of vocabulary, grammar, and syntax of the standard language.	skillfully communicates d meaning to readers		13.5 to >10 pts Proficient Uses straightforward language that generally conve meaning to readers. The language has fe errors.		10 to >7.5 pts Developing Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing includes errors.	7.5 to >0 pts Beginning Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of grammatical, sentence structure or stylistic errors.	15 pts
Style (voice) and audience Demonstrates understanding of the task (academic essay) and the audience (general public who may not have seen the film previously).	15 to >13.5 pts Exemplary Distinctive narrative voice is appropriate to the task (academic discourse that is formal and impersonal) and good understanding of the audience.	Pi At ta au so sv pe di: (c /ir	3.5 to >10 pts reficient opropriate to sk and udience but ometimes vitches to ersonal scourse olloquial uformal). onsistently vare of the	Apple and information according to switch general contractions and the switch general contractions and the switch according to	to >7.5 pts veloping propriate to task diaudience but too prmal to be resentative of ademic discourse di therefore often tiches between meral and familiar isters and diences.	7.5 to >0 pts Beginning Inappropriate to task and audience. For instance, the style is too colloquial and informal – signs of personal discourse. No consideration of audience is present.	15 pt

Criteria	Ratings							Pts
Evidence and sources Uses textual evidence (or other sources) to develop ideas that are appropriate for the target audience and genre of the writing task.	5 to >4 pts Exemplary Bibliography complete and in proper format. Film is properly cited (MLA, 8th edition).		proper forr				2.5 to >0 pts Beginning Bibliography incomplete or absent. Film not cited.	5 pts
© GEP ARTS LO1 view longer description threshold: 2 pts	3 pts Exceeds Expectations	2 pts Meets Expectations		1 pts Partially Meet Expectations				
© CLO2 Cinematic Analysis view longer description threshold: 2 pts	3 pts Exceeds Expectations	2 pts Mee Expe		1 pts Partially Meets Expectations		0 pts Does Not Meet Expectations		
© GEP CT LO2.D Construct Reasoning view longer description threshold: 2 pts	3 pts Exceeds Expectations	2 pts Mee Expe		1 pts Partially Meet Expectations		0 pts Does N Expect	lot Meet ations	